ENT-2α-HYDROXY-13-EPI-MANOYL OXIDE FROM SIDERITIS PERFOLIATA

EKREM SEZIK, NURTEN EZER, JUAN A. HUESO-RODRÍGUEZ* and BENJAMÍN RODRÍGUEZ*

Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Hacettepe, Ankara, Turkey; *Instituto de Química Orgánica, CSIC., Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006-Madrid, Spain

(Received 11 March 1985)

Key Word Index—Sideritis perfoliata; Labiatae; diterpenoid; ent-2α-hydroxy-13-epi-manoyl oxide.

Abstract—A new ent-13-epi-manoyl oxide derivative was isolated from the aerial part of Sideritis perfoliata. Its structure, ent- 2α -hydroxy- $8,13\beta$ -epoxy-labd-14-ene, was established by chemical and spectroscopic means.

In continuation of our studies on the diterpenoids from Sideritis spp. [1-3], we have now investigated the aerial part of S. perfoliata L. From this source a new diterpenoid, ent- 2α -hydroxy-13-epi-manoyl oxide (1), has been isolated and its structure established by chemical and spectroscopic means, including a chemical correlation with ent-13-epi-manoyl oxide.

The new diterpenoid (1) had a molecular formula C₂₀H₃₄O₂ and its IR spectrum was consistent with the presence of a hydroxyl (3325 cm⁻¹) and a vinyl (3085, 1645, 963, 910 cm⁻¹) group. The ¹H NMR spectrum (300 MHz) of compound 1 showed signals of five tertiary methyl groups at δ 1.22, 1.14, 0.93, 0.83, and 0.77, of a vinyl group attached to a fully substituted sp3 carbon atom (an ABX system, δ_A 4.91, δ_B 4.98, δ_X 6.00; $J_{AB} = 0.8$ Hz, $J_{AX} = 10.8$ Hz, $J_{BX} = 17.8$ Hz), and of a proton geminal to a secondary hydroxyl group at δ 3.92. This last signal appeared as a triplet of triplets with coupling values of J_1 = 11.4 Hz and J_2 = 4.4 Hz, thus establishing that the secondary hydroxyl group of the diterpenoid (1) is equatorial and placed between two methylene groups. In addition, the ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 1 showed two one-proton triplets at $\delta 1.11$ and 0.79 (both J = 11.4 Hz) and two one-proton double-doublet of doublets at δ 2.04 and 1.78 (both $J_1 = 11.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 4.4$ Hz, J_3 = 2.0 Hz). Irradiation at δ 3.92 transformed the triplets at $\delta 1.11$ and 0.79 into doublets (both J = 11.4 Hz) and the signals at $\delta 2.04$ and 1.78 (both double-doublet of doublets) into double doublets, with coupling values of 11.4 Hz and 2.0 Hz. This result established that the two methylene groupings vicinal to the secondary equatorial alcohol are attached to fully substituted carbon atoms and that their equatorial protons (signals at δ 2.04 and 1.78) are reciprocally coupled through four bonds, because on irradiation of the signal at δ 1.78, the signal at δ 2.04 was transformed into a double doublet $(J_1 = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 4.4 \text{ Hz})$, with disappearance of the long-range coupling of 2.0 Hz.

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 1 (Table 1) showed signals arising from five methyl groups, six methylene carbons, two methine carbons, two quaternary carbon atoms, two olefinic carbons corresponding to the vinyl group, a methine carbon bonded to the oxygen atom of the secondary hydroxyl group, and two quaternary carbons bonded to another oxygen atom. The chemical

shifts of the C-1 to C-7, C-10 and C-18 to C-20 carbon atoms of compound 1 (Table 1) are almost identical with those reported [4] for the corresponding carbon atoms of 2α -hydroxy-manoyl oxide (2), whereas the C-8, C-9 and C-11 to C-17 signals of the new diterpenoid (1) appeared at the same field as in 13-epi-manoyl oxide (3) [4].

All the above data are only compatible with a structure

1
$$R^1 = OH, R^2 = H$$

4
$$R^1$$
, $R^2 = 0$

5
$$R^1 = R^2 = H$$

 $\begin{array}{ccccc} R^1 & R^2 & R^3 \\ \hline \textbf{2} & OH & Me & CH=CH_2 \\ \textbf{3} & H & CH=CH_2 & Me \\ \end{array}$

Table 1. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of compound 1 (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as int. standard)

No.	Carbon		
	δ	No.	δ
1	48.5 t*	11	16.1 t
2	65.3 d	12	34.7 t
3	51.3 t	13	73.4 s
4	34.8 s	14	147.5 d
5	55.9 d	15	109.6 t
5	19.5 t	16	32.6 q
7	42.9t	17	24.0 a
3	75.9 s	18	33.4 a
•	58.4 d	19	22.1 q
0	38.5 s	20	16.9 a

^{*}SFORD multiplicity.

such as 1 (or its enantiomer) for the new diterpenoid. Oxidation of compound 1 with chromium trioxide-pyridine yielded the keto-derivative 4, the CD curve of which showed a negative Cotton effect ($\Delta \epsilon_{293} = -1.52$). This is indicative of an *enantio* absolute configuration for compound 4, because it is known [5] that positive Cotton effects are exhibited by 2-keto-derivatives of 5α -steroids.

Finally, Wolff-Kishner reduction of compound 4 yielded a substance identical in all respects (see the Experimental part) with ent-13-epi-manoyl oxide (5) [6, 7]. Thus, the new diterpenoid is ent-2 α -hydroxy-8,13 β -epoxy-labd-14-ene (1).

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps are uncorr. For general details on methods, see refs. [8-10]. Plant materials were collected in July 1983, at Antalya-Alanya, near Büklü village, Turkey, and voucher specimens (HUEF-1709) were deposited in the Herbarium of the Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Hacettepe, Turkey.

Extraction and isolation of the diterpenoid. Dried and finely powdered S. perfoliata L. aerial parts (300 g) were extracted with petrol in a Soxhlet apparatus for 120 hr. The petrol extract was treated as previously described [8-10] yielding a residue (12 g), which was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, Merck No. 7733; eluted with n-hexane and n-hexane-Me₂CO, 9:1, 4:1 and 3:1 mixtures). Elution with n-hexane-Me₂CO (4:1) yielded the main diterpene constituent (1, 510 mg after several crystallizations from *n*-hexane): mp 134.5–135°; $[\alpha]_D^{17}$ – 40.4° (CHCl₃; c 0.47); IR v KBr cm⁻¹: 3325 (hydroxyl), 3085, 1645, 963, 910 (vinyl group), 2960, 2860, 1457, 1380, 1095, 1080, 1035, 840; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): see the text; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): see Table 1; EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 306 [M]⁺ (0.5), 291 (70), 288 (1.5), 279 (2.5), 273 (32), 261 (7), 255 (35), 190 (60), 175 (50), 147 (44), 135 (62), 121 (45), 95 (55), 81 (80), 69 (46), 67 (47), 55 (58), 43 (100). (Found: C, 78.60; H, 11.27. C₂₀H₃₄O₂ requires: C, 78.38; H, 11.18%.)

Chromium trioxide-pyridine treatment of 1 to yield compound 4. CrO₃-pyridine treatment of 1 (180 mg) in the usual manner gave 4 (165 mg after crystallization from Et₂O): mp 138-139°; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ - 71.0° (CHCl₃; c 0.55); CD nm ($\Delta \epsilon$): 325 (0), 293 (-1.52), 247 (0) (MeOH; c 0.047); IR $v_{\rm M}^{\rm BB}$ cm⁻¹: 1712 (ketone), 3090, 1645, 960, 925 (vinyl group), 2960, 2860, 1460, 1450, 1375, 1280, 1270, 1095, 1080, 1015, 835, 700; ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃): vinyl group as an ABX system, δ_A 4.91, δ_B 4.95, δ_X 6.01 ($J_{\rm AB}$ = 1 Hz, $J_{\rm AX}$ = 10.8 Hz, $J_{\rm BX}$ = 18 Hz, H-14 and 2H-15), C-Me singlets at δ 1.22, 1.14, 1.05, 0.86, and 0.75 (five tertiary methyl groups);

EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 304 [M] $^+$ (2.5), 289 (100), 277 (5), 271 (58), 206 (28), 191 (15), 151 (18), 122 (18), 107 (15), 95 (15), 81 (23), 67 (17), 55 (17). (Found: C, 78.96; H, 10.49. $C_{20}H_{32}O_2$ requires: C, 78.89; H, 10.59%.)

ent-13-Epi-manoyl oxide (5) from compound 4. Huang-Minlon reduction of compound 4 (110 mg) under N₂ atmosphere yielded ent-13-epi-manoyl oxide (5, 90 mg after crystallization from MeOH): mp 99–101°; $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20} = 37.0^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃; c 0.23); IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3080, 1640, 960, 930 (vinyl group), 2960, 2900, 2880, 1465, 1390, 1370, 1200, 1100, 1080, 1070, 1015, 985, 843, 700; ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃): vinyl group as an ABX system, δ_A 4.89, $\delta_{\rm B}$ 4.92, $\delta_{\rm X}$ 6.01 ($J_{\rm AB} = 1$ Hz, $J_{\rm AX} = 10.8$ Hz, $J_{\rm BX} = 18$ Hz, H-14 and 2H-15), C-Me singlets at δ 1.21, 1.12, 0.85, 0.79, and 0.72 (five tertiary methyl groups); EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV, m/z (rel. int.): 290 [M] + (1.5), 275 (100), 263 (4), 257 (70), 245 (11), 192 (35), 191 (20), 177 (22), 137 (20), 123 (13), 109 (12), 95 (15), 81 (17), 69 (11), 55 (10). (Found: C, 82.73; H, 11.71. Calc. for C₂₀H₃₄O: C, 82.69; H, 11.80%.) Identical in all respects (mp, mmp, TLC, IR, $[\alpha]_D$, ¹H NMR, MS) with an authentic sample of ent-13-epimanoyl oxide (5). Lit. [7]: mp $98-99.5^{\circ}$; $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} - 37^{\circ}$.

Acknowledgements—We thank Miss M. D. Casado and Miss M. Plaza (Madrid) for recording the HNMR and 13 CNMR spectra. This work was supported in part by the Spanish 'Comisión Asesora de Investigación Científica y Técnica'.

REFERENCES

- García-Alvarez, M. C., Martín Panizo, F. and Rodríguez, B. (1979) An. Quim. 75, 752.
- Escamilla, E. M. and Rodríguez, B. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 463.
- García-Alvarez, M. C. and Rodríguez, B. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 2405.
- Wehrli, F. W. and Nishida, T. (1979) in Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products (Herz, W., Grisebach, H. and Kirby, G. W., eds) Vol. 36, p. 56. Springer, Wien.
- Crabbé, P. (1968) Applications de la Dispersion Rotatoire Optique et du Dichroisme Circulaire Optique en Chimie Organique, p. 194. Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- McLean, D. H. and Slater, S. N. (1945) J. Soc. Chem. Ind. 64, 28
- Cross, B. E., Galt, R. H. B. and Hanson, J. R. (1963) J. Chem. Soc. 2937.
- 8. Rodríguez, B. and Valverde, S. (1973) Tetrahedron 29, 2837.
- von Carstenn-Lichterfelde, C., Valverde, S. and Rodríguez, B. (1974) Aust. J. Chem. 27, 517.
- 10. Rodríguez, B. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 281.